EXHIBIT Q

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

WESTERN DIVISION

AMY SPARKS, individually, and ROBERT D. SPARKS, as Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF JARRED B. SPARKS,

Plaintiffs, CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:13-cv-00649-FL

-vs-

OXY-HEALTH, LLC and OXY-HEALTH CORPORATION,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF:

RONALD J. NATOLI, JR., P.E.

Thursday, July 17, 2014

12:48 p.m.

Robson Forensic, Inc. 301 Grant Street Suite 4300 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Susan R. Perkins, Court Reporter

Ronald Natoli 7/17/2014

1 Α. Well, what I reference in my report is a carbon dioxide monitors and alarms. I never said just 2 3 4 You also did refer to this specific one as one 0. 5 such simple solution; correct? 6 Α. The one in the picture. I didn't pull down the 7 information. I don't know what --8 But these are your words. One such simple 0. 9 solution; correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 So if this particular meter was in the chamber Q. 12 on the night of the incident --13 What I say: Oxy-Health's failure to provide a Α. 14 visual and audible indication that a dangerous 15 level of carbon dioxide or reduction oxygen 16 levels exists made the Oxy-Health Vitaeris 320 17 hyperbaric chamber defective, unreasonably 18 dangerous, unsafe for its intended use and the 19 cause of Jarred Sparks' asphyxiation. 20 So had a detector, a monitor, been in 2.1 there, whether it looked like this one that had 22 a visual and audible indication, the incident 23 probably would not have occurred. 24 I appreciate that and I don't think I disagree 0. 25 with you. But my question is: If Exhibit 33